Kinetic Monte Carlo Study of Pt on Au(111) with applications to catalysis

P Zoontjens G Grochola I K Snook S P Russo

Applied Physics, School of Applied Sciences, RMIIT University



www.rmit.edu.au

# Overview

- Metropolis Monte Carlo
- Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC)
- Why Pt on Au(111)
- KMC applied to Pt Nanostructure growth on Au(111) surface
- Altering Pt Nanostructure morphology
  - Total width
  - Average width
  - Nanostructure growth Pattern
  - Roughness
- Some Observations
- Applications to Catalysis

# Metropolis Monte Carlo

- Try random configuration change
- Apply Metropolis Acceptance criteria  $min(1, exp(-\beta\Delta E))$ 
  - Need Potential Energy function
- Make the configuration change if accepted
- And repeat

Large proportion of rejected attempts

# **Kinetic Monte Carlo**

- Rejection Free
- Need catalog of events and their rates
- Each step pick one of the valid moves
- Provides a KMC time
- Typically use lattice approximation
  - Atoms Hop between Lattice Sites
  - Don't model atomic vibrations
- Handle larger size scales and longer time scales than Molecular Dynamics
- Widely used e.g.
  - -Epitaxial Crystal growth (long time scale)
  - -Vacancy diffusion Studies of Catalysis

#### Kinetic Monte Carlo – Details

- Arrhenius form for rate of configuration change (thermally activated event)
- Rate<sub>i</sub> = A exp(-  $E_i / kT$ )
  - Attempt frequency
    A ~ 10^13 1/s
  - Energy Barriers  $E_i \sim 0.1 1 \text{ eV}$
  - Probability of success  $exp(-E_i/kT)$
- Produce a rate catalog (possible events and corresponding rates) Incomplete !!
- Need to determine Energy Barriers
  - atomic species, local atomic configuration
  - Use Nudged Elastic Band method and Johnson EAM potential
    - -Find maximum energy along minimum energy path

# Kinetic Monte Carlo – Algorithm

- At each Monte Carlo step
  - Determine possible events based on current configuration
  - Get the corresponding rates from the catalog
  - Pick event at random (but in proportion to its rate of occurrence)
  - KMC time for the step is proportional to 1/(sum of rates)
  - Carry out chosen event by updating the configuration

# Why Pt on Au(111)

- Catalysis
  - Bimetallic catalysts
    - Can be more reactive
    - Potentially more cost effective
  - PtAu Nanostructures, Formic Acid Oxidation Oxygen Reduction
- Interested in forming Linear Nanostructures
  - Novel Bimetallic Nanocatalysts more surface less Pt required
  - Patterned Surfaces as Templates
  - -1D Nanowires quantum confinement effects
- Au(111) close packed surface
- Pt on Au(111) not well studied by modelling/simulation

# KMC applied to Pt Nanostructure growth on Au(111) surface

- Use Au island edge as a trapping site /template to nucleate a Pt Nanostructure in an Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE) growth process
- Pt deposited at random on Au surface
  - Low deposition rate to avoid islands forming on the terrace
- Pt diffuses and trapped at Au island edge
  - Pt adatom and dimer diffusion over Au surface and along Au island edge



- Pt Nanostructure grows at island edge
  - Pt adatom and dimer diffusion along Pt Nanostructure edge
- Pt hopping up not modelled high Energy Barrier
- Pt monolayer growth is experimentally observed
- Pt embedding into Au island edge via exchange

# Altering Nanostructure Morphology

- Key controllable parameters in MBE are Temperature and Deposition rate
  - Higher Temperatures
    - faster adatom diffusion
    - increased chances of atomic rearrangements
  - Lower deposition rates
    - More opportunities for atomic rearrangements
- Such atomic rearrangements typically result in more compact less branched Nanostructures

### **Total Width of Nanostructure**

- Measured directly outward from island edge at each site ignores branches
- Steady growth with Pt deposition
- Greater at higher temperature and lower deposition rate



#### Average Width of Nanostructure

- Initial slow growth in average width due to Pt embedding
  - more so at low deposition and higher temperatures
- Subsequent linear growth which then slows (with temperature) as include less filled rows
- More variability with temperature at low deposition
- Choose average width by stopping deposition



#### Nanostructure Growth Pattern (200K deposit every 1000 steps) 1000 Pt deposited

- Row 1 fills first Fast initially then slows and saturates
- Adjacent rows fill slowly at start, speed up then slow as nearing saturation



# Nanostructure Growth Pattern (400K deposit every 3000 steps) 1000 Pt deposited

Greater final coverage in rows 1-6

longer Nanostructure



Slightly more compact so Average Width reduced

21 Pt embedded

#### Nanostructure Growth Pattern (450K deposit every 5000 steps) 1000 Pt deposited

- Nearly full coverage in rows 1 and 2 enhanced funnelling
- Increase coverage in rows 2 6 reduction in row 8 more compact



Further reduction in Average Width

26 Pt embedded

#### Nanostructure Growth Pattern (450K deposit every 1000 steps) 1000 Pt deposited

Lower final coverage rows 1 to 6

Shorter Nanostructures



Average Width increased

17 Pt embedded

### Roughness – Standard Deviation of Width

- Increases overtime Rougher at lower temperatures
- At 400K and 450K increase slows with time row 1 filled smoothing - more so at low deposition



(a) High deposition rate, once every 1000 steps. (b) Medium deposition rate, once every 3000 steps. (c) Low deposition rate, once every 5000 steps.

Temperature more significant than deposition rate

#### Comparisons: Medium deposition rate After 2m KMC steps

- Pt Nanostructures are smoother and more compact at higher temperature
- Almost complete island edge coverage at 450K
- Number of Pt atoms embedded in step edge increases with temperature



(c) At 450K

## 1D Pt Au Nano-alloy

 After 300k KMC steps at 450K with low deposition rate have 30% Pt in Au Alloy along the island edge



# Some Observations

- Au island edge can be used to template linear (10:1 aspect ratio) monolayer Pt Nanostructures
- High temperatures and low deposition rates form smoother and more compact Pt Nanostructures
- Changes in temperature usually more significant than changes in deposition rate – but use both high temperature and low deposition rate to minimise roughness
- The number of Pt atoms embedded in the island edge can be tuned using temperature and deposition rate
- A 1D Nano-alloy of Pt in Au can be formed

# **Applications to Catalysis**

- Pt on Au modifies the chemical reactivity
  - CO desorption on Pt monolayer stronger than with Pt alone
- 50% PtAu alloy has much higher turnover than Pt for NO decomposition
- Certain arrangements of Pt and Au atoms reduce surface poisoning by O
- On PtAu Nanoparticles preferred site for CO adsorption is Pt adjacent to Au
- A rougher Nanostructure potentially offers more sites for catalysis to occur
- Here we have an adjustable mix of Pt overlayers and a novel 1D PtAu surface alloy with a range of local environments
- Such structures are very interesting as potential bimetallic Nano catalysts

Thanks for your Attention

RMIT University©2008

RMIT University©2008

# Pt Nanostructure grows row by row

- Growth by rows due to Pt adatom diffusion
  - -to island edge and trapped
  - -along it forming Pt dimers and longer Pt chains
  - Pt chains form Pt Nanostructure edge that traps further Pt adatoms
    PICTURES
- Pt Nanostructure grows during simulation
  - In Length and Width (measured perpendicular to island edge)
  - Can reach 10:1 aspect ratio

- Approximately 27% of Pt's deposited during simulation accumulate in monolayer Nanostructure on right hand island edge
- Observation of simulated Pt Nanostructure growth



# KMC movie of growth ? Observation of simulated Pt nanostructure growth

# The Monte Carlo idea

- Find/create a random variable X such that the answer you seek = f(E(X))
- Examples
  - -Neutron Los alamos
  - -Area of circle Pi
  - -Buffon needle
  - -Monte Carlo integration
    - -Importance sampling

